

The opportunity for abuse will be magnified while the likelihood of alternate viewpoints reduced. I, personally, don't want all my news brought to me as infotainment by the same people who force-feed 'reality' shows, like "Married by America", that offend me on so many levels that I can't list them all.

Having the same stories conveyed by different media - New York Times in print or on-line, for instance, is not a conflict, because it is clear that the same editorial oversight operates in both places. However, allowing some conglomerates to own multiple media outlets (even most, in some markets) under different banners without specific, bold, unmistakeable notice to the consumer is insidious. Even with notice, if the consumer has no options for a different viewpoint, the advantages of a 'free' press are lost.

I urge reconsideration of this dangerous and non-user-friendly legislation.